

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

MEEMIC INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v

BARBARA JANE BISCHER, Individually and as  
Next Friend of BAILEY JAMES BISCHER, a  
Minor, GARY DUANE BISCHER, and  
JACQUELINE G. BRAUN, Personal  
Representative of the ESTATE OF BRANDON  
MICHAEL DICKERT,

Defendants-Appellees.

---

FOR PUBLICATION  
February 13, 2018

No. 335126  
Huron Circuit Court  
LC No. 16-105387-CK

Advance Sheets Version

Before: METER, P.J., and SAWYER and SHAPIRO, JJ.

SHAPIRO, J. (*concurring*).

I concur with the majority but write separately to emphasize, as the majority notes, that “we need not determine what does constitute a sufficient connection with the residence premises” and that facts distinguishable from those in the instant case might result in different outcomes. Thus, although I agree that the permissive use of the noncontiguous trail at issue here was not “use . . . in connection with,” I would likely reach a different conclusion had the accident occurred on a common trail that ran through two or several contiguous properties including that of the policyholder.

/s/ Douglas B. Shapiro